



Richland County Council

REGULAR SESSION
October 20, 2020 – 6:00 PM
Via Zoom Meeting

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Paul Livingston, Chair; Dalhi Myers, Joyce Dickerson, Gwen Kennedy, Bill Malinowski, Jim Manning, Yvonne McBride, Chakisse Newton, Allison Terracio and Joe Walker

OTHERS PRESENT: Michelle Onley, Angela Weathersby, Leonardo Brown, John Thompson, Dale Welch, Kyle Holsclaw, Clayton Voignier, Jeff Ruble, Jennifer Wladischkin, Dwight Hanna, Michael Maloney, Stacey Hamm, Judy Carter, Brad Farrar, Bill Davis, Michael Niermeier, Ronaldo Myers, Geo Price, Tamar Black, Tyler Kirk, Art Braswell, Hayden Davis, Tariq Hussain, Randy Pruitt, Brittney Hoyle-Terry, Sandra Haynes, James Hayes and Larry Smith

1. **CALL TO ORDER** – Mr. Livingston called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 PM.
2. **INVOCATION** – The Invocation was led by the Honorable Chakisse Newton
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – The Pledge of Allegiance was led by the Honorable Chakisse Newton
4. **PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION**

- a. A Resolution in support of F-35 Joint Strike Fighter Basing at McEntire Joint National Guard Base [NEWTON] – Ms. Newton read the resolution into the record.

Colonel Akshai Gandhi expressed his appreciation to Council for adopting the resolution in support of the F-35 Program.

5. **PRESENTATION**

- a. Historic Columbia- Renaming of Woodrow Wilson House – Ms. Dawn Mills Campbell, Richland County liaison to Historic Columbia, stated the Historic Columbia Board is requesting to change the name of the Woodrow Wilson Family Home to the Museum of the Reconstruction Era at the Woodrow Wilson Family Home. She noted this change was strongly supported by the late Councilman Calvin “Chip” Jackson.

The Councilmembers expressed their support of this proposed change, and thanked Historic Columbia for all their endeavors to bring recognition to the Reconstruction Era.

6. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

- a. Regular Session: October 6, 2020 – Ms. Terracio requested that the time Council came out of Executive Session be added to the minutes.

Ms. Dickerson moved, seconded by Ms. Terracio, to approve the minutes as corrected.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Kennedy, Myers and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

7. **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA** – Ms. Onley requested Districts 2 and 3 Hospitality Tax allocations be added to the agenda, as the ROAs were received after the agenda had been printed.

Ms. Myers moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to adopt the agenda as amended.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Kennedy, Myers and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

8. **REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEMS**

- a. Coggins vs. Richland County (pending litigation) – Receipt of legal advice and plan of action in response in recent Court Order
- b. Potential resolution/settlement of contractual/personnel matter involving former Administrator Gerald Seals

Ms. Dickerson inquired if it was necessary to go into Executive Session regarding these items.

Ms. Myers stated she agrees with Ms. Dickerson. She believes it is time for matters that have been shielded from the public, have been allowed to create their own life and take on misinformation ought to be on the public record.

Mr. Smith responded these matters are matters wherein the receipt of legal advice is privileged and confidential information. He stated they are not in the position to give Council legal advice in an open session, unless Council wants to waive their privilege. They operate under the Rules of Professional Responsibility, which requires them to do things in a certain way, and to properly advise our clients regarding how that needs to be done.

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to go into Executive Session to receive information that is appropriate for Executive Session.

Mr. Livingston stated, for clarification, this is under the guidance of the things he mentioned earlier, but only what is required to be in Executive Session.

Mr. Manning stated the reason he made the motion the way he did is because he has been voting against Executive Session for almost 12 years, and calling into question many of the things that we go in for. However, when it is appropriate he is in favor of it. If we go into Executive Session, and the first thing we hear is, "There was a court hearing held on this matter, on such and such a date" that does not qualify for Executive Session.

Ms. Myers requested that the record reflect that she is happy to waive any privilege associated with the discussion of this matter. She believes Executive Session and discussions of this matter have been used to shield the truth of what is actually going on with this matter.

Ms. Newton stated, from her perspective, a lot of the problems has come from the lack of transparency with the public, which is why she feels this should be a conversation held in open session.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Kennedy and Manning

Opposed: Terracio, Walker, Myers and Newton

The vote was in favor.

Council went into Executive Session at approximately 6:38 PM and came out at approximately 8:01 PM

Mr. Walker moved, seconded by Ms. Terracio, to come out of Executive Session.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- a. Coggins vs. Richland County (pending litigation) – Receipt of legal advice and plan of action in response in recent Court Order – Mr. Mr. Walker moved, seconded by Ms. Terracio, to instruct legal counsel to file the Motion for Reconsideration, as recommended in Executive Session.

Ms. Dickerson stated she is not supporting any motions regarding this matter. She has not been pleased with the results. It happened during her tenure as Chair, and it was the worst year of her life. She believes Mr. Seals should have had a public hearing, and we would not be back here.

Mr. Walker stated, for clarification, he completely understands what Ms. Dickerson is saying, and agrees wholeheartedly. He is attempting to bifurcate the issue. We need to give our legal team guidance on how to pursue the Coggins lawsuit. Therefore, this motion is to simply instruct them to keep the process moving, as far as the litigation is concerned. He expects there will be a 2nd motion on how to address the particular issues discussed with Mr. Seals, and the settlement thereof, which is where that particular concern would be more germane.

Ms. Dickerson requested the motion be restated, so Council is clear on what they are voting on.

Mr. Walker stated, he moves that we instruct our legal team, as it pertains specifically to the litigation in the Coggins lawsuit, to file the appropriate motion for reconsideration, which would naturally come at this point and juncture in this lawsuit.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about the potential end results if we file the Motion for Reconsideration.

Mr. Smith responded the Court could either agree to modify/change its Order, and give us some relief, as it relates to any requests we make in the motion, or the Court could deny the Motion for Reconsideration.

Mr. Malinowski stated he does not understand what the County would get, if the judge reconsiders the Order.

Mr. Smith responded, basically, we would be requesting the judge to consider a less drastic result.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the motion on the table pertains to Item 8(a) or Items 8(a) and (b).

Mr. Walker responded the intent of his motion is to address Item 8(a), such that a 2nd motion could be made, as it pertains to Item 8(b).

In Favor: Livingston, Terracio, Walker and Newton

Abstain: Malinowski, Dickerson and Myers

Not Present: McBride and Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

b. Potential resolution/settlement of contractual/personnel matter involving former Administrator Gerald Seals

Mr. Walker moved to defer the continued negotiations associated with Item 8(b) to the first regularly scheduled Council meeting of 2021, with the guidance for our legal counsel to communicate to Mr. Seals' legal counsel that we fully intend to cooperate and reach an amicable solution to this issue.

The motion died for lack of a second.

Mr. Malinowski inquired as to what happens with Item 8(b), since we only voted on Item 8(a). How will it be resolved?

Mr. Smith responded, at this point, unless Council directs Legal to communicate with the other party, there would be nothing that we communicate. If Council is not ready to address this matter, then you could defer it to another meeting.

Mr. Malinowski inquired, if you have nothing to communicate, then what happens with the issue.

Mr. Smith responded, if Council fails to act on the matter, then there is nothing that Legal can do.

Ms. Dickerson inquired if Item 8(b) will be predicated on the response we receive, as it relates to the Motion for Reconsideration.

Mr. Smith responded the motion that was, and approved, did not tie the two together, or predicate, what happens with that motion. The motion was for us to go forward with a reconsideration.

Ms. Newton stated we had a conversation in Executive Session where we were wanting a broader explanation of the situation, which is not privileged information to be given to the public.

Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to authorize counsel to enter discussions with Mr. Seals, and his attorney, and bring back the results of that discussion to the next Council meeting.

Mr. Malinowski inquired as to what we are authorizing counsel to discuss with Mr. Seals and his counsel. Are we simply going to inquire as to what they want? In addition, he does not believe Ms. Newton's request for the public to be provided information on this matter has been dealt with.

In Favor: Dickerson, Livingston, and Manning

Opposed: Malinowski, Terracio, Walker, Myers and Newton

Not Present: McBride and Kennedy

The motion failed.

Mr. Smith stated the purpose of the Executive Session was for the attorneys to give legal advice to Council, as it relates to a court order, which was issued by Judge Newman, in the case of Coggins vs. Richland County, and to explain the legal ramifications of the Order. The Order invalidated a settlement agreement, which had been reached between Richland County and the former County Administrator. We also talked about some possible paths forward, both legally, as it relates to the Order, as well as potentially a resolution of the matter related to Mr. Seals. He further stated, the Order, basically, indicated there were some infractions on behalf of the County regarding adherence to FOIA, which led to the invalidation of the action taken by Council in 2018. The Order, however, did not say the Court found any issue related to the amount agreed upon.

Ms. Newton moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to authorize the attorney to enter into, and continue conversations with Gerald Seals' and his counsel; to defer action on this item until the next regularly scheduled Council meeting (November 17th) at which point Council can come prepared to make additional recommendations.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about the difference between this motion and Mr. Livingston's motion that failed.

Ms. Newton responded her motion would put the onus on how to move forward on Council, but would still allow an open channel of communication, if there is information that is needed.

In Favor: Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski and Myers

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

Ms. Myers noted, for the record, the public should understand this Coggins lawsuit was spurred by false statements by people on this Council. There were people on Council that convinced people in the public, including Coggins, that her vote to support a settlement, for our former Administrator, who was subjected to discrimination, harassment, public ridicule, belittlement, derision, and called a jackass in a 45-minute diatribe, where a Councilmember was screaming at him at the top of his lungs on the 4th Floor of the Administration Building...Having heard all of those things, her colleagues, when she supported Gerald Seals, when he was fired in a late night, surprise ambush vote, did what men often do to women, they went out and said, "Surely she's either his lawyer, and getting money out of it, or she must be somehow romantically connected to him." Both are lies. She wants it to be clear that those lies and smears were put forward by men on Richland County

Council. There will come a day, for those that did that, you have women in your lives that will reap the whirlwinds from these vile and vicious lies you have persisted in keeping up. You will regret these actions, and shame on each of you for propounding these lies and covering up the fact that we had our senior most employee treated poorly, and therefore, received a settlement.

Mr. Livingston ruled Ms. Myers out of order.

9. **CITIZENS' INPUT**

- a. For Items on the Agenda Not Requiring a Public Hearing – No comments were received.

10. **CITIZENS' INPUT**

- a. Must Pertain to Richland County Matters Not on the Agenda (Items for which a public hearing is required or a public hearing has been scheduled cannot be addressed at this time.) – No comments were received.

11. **REPORT OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

- a. Coronavirus Update – Mr. Brown stated in the agenda packet, on pp. 27-36, he included information regarding this matter. He noted, over the last few weeks, the percent positive has remained around the 10.9% - 11% range. There are additional mask giveaways scheduled for this month and into next month. Additionally, there has been a public health concern about a “twindemic”, meaning the conversion of potential flu and COVID-19. As a result, DHEC and PRISMA have communicated they are giving free flu shots in Richland County throughout October. There are dates and times posted in the agenda packet on p. 27.
- b. Letter to Richland School District I – Mr. Brown stated the County submitted a letter to Richland One School District addressing some concerns about funding the County requested. He has met with the Superintendent and they will be taking the letter to the School Board for consideration.

12. **REPORT OF THE INTERIM CLERK OF COUNCIL** – No report was given.

13. **REPORT OF THE CHAIR** – No report was given.

14. **OPEN/CLOSE PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- a. Authorizing the issuance of General Obligation Bonds in one or more series, tax-exempt or taxable, in an amount not to exceed \$30,000,000, for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, equipping, rehabilitating and improving various capital projects; authorizing the County Administrator to prescribe the details of the issuance and sale of the bonds and the form and details of the bonds; providing for the disposition of the proceeds of the bonds and the payment of the bonds; and other related matters – No comments were received.
- b. Authorizing the issuance of Fire Protection Service General Obligation Bonds in one or more series, tax-exempt or taxable, in an amount not to exceed \$8,000,000 for the purpose of acquiring and constructing fire stations and acquiring fire protection equipment; authorizing the County Administrator to prescribe the details of the issuance and sale of the bonds and the form and details of the bonds; providing for the disposition of the proceeds of the bonds and the payment of the bonds; and other related matters – No comments were received.

- c. An Ordinance Amending the Fiscal Year 2021 Victim Assistance Fund annual budget by \$153,456 to amend Richland County Sheriff Budget in the VA Fund – No comments were received.
- d. An Ordinance Amending the Fiscal Year 2021 General Fund Annual Budget by \$1,092,456 to pay for the annual costs of body worn and in-car cameras in the Richland County Sheriff's Department General Fund Budget and to amend the Victim's Assistance Budget – No comments were received.

15. **APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEMS**

- a. 20-019MA, W. P. Sligh, RU to NC (2.51 Acres), 1006 Guise Road, TMS # R01511-05-10 (Portion) [THIRD READING]
- b. 20-023MA, Bill Dixon, RU to RS-MD (99.6 Acres), S/E Rabon Road, TMS # R17112-01-01 (Portion) [THIRD READING]
- c. 20-024MA, Bill Dixon, RU to RM-MD (23.6 Acres), S/E Rabon Road, TMS # R17112-01-01 (Portion) and R17115-01-01 [THIRD READING]
- d. 20-025MA, Anil R. Parag, PDD to Amended PDD (1.076 Acres), 301 Rice Meadow Way, TMS # R20305-01 [THIRD READING]

Ms. Terracio moved, seconded by Ms. Newton, to approve the consent items.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote in favor was unanimous.

16. **THIRD READING ITEMS**

- a. Authorizing the issuance of General Obligation Bonds in one or more series, tax-exempt or taxable, in an amount not to exceed \$30,000,000, for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, equipping, rehabilitating and improving various capital projects; authorizing the County Administrator to prescribe the details of the issuance and sale of the bonds and the form and details of the bonds; providing for the disposition of the proceeds of the bonds and payment of the bonds; and other related matters – Ms. Terracio moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve this item.

Ms. Newton stated, it is her understanding, there are different types of items in the Capital Improvement packet. There are items that are being approved, as is. There are items where a fund was provided for departments to utilize, with the approval of the Administrator. Then, there are other items that are going to come back to Council for approval.

Mr. Brown responded there are two (2) particular areas. One is operational services, and the other is magistrate offices. In those two (2) areas, where there are multiple facilities to be considered, we are going to bring those areas back to Council for priority discussions. Based on the priorities selected, will determine how the funds will be spent. Many of the other items in the Capital Improvement Plan have a line item, and those are specific items that Council is approving.

Ms. Terracio stated she was told there was a planned improvement at the Detention Center around the drain in the kitchen. She inquired if that is a part of the shower upgrade, or is it planned at a later date.

Mr. Myers responded the kitchen drain was an emergency repair, and was addressed immediately.

Ms. McBride noted in the Capital Improvement Plan there is approximately \$4M in improvements listed for the DSS facility. She inquired as to why we are putting these funds into a facility that needs to be replaced.

Mr. Brown responded, for those items under operational services, there was a request for all of these items. What is before Council, is not an approved list of items that will be done. Council is being requested to approve the pot of funding. Then, Council will decide which items will be worked on.

Mr. Malinowski inquired what the "security upgrade project" is for.

Mr. Brown responded the "security upgrade project" is related to the 2020 Hampton Street building. As an example, earlier this year we had some vandalism occur because of some equipment that we thought was operational, but we determine was not.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about the \$1.8M in funding for the Township parking lot.

Mr. Brown stated that specific project, and all of items listed under "Operational Services", will not be done unless we identify these are the projects we are going to do in those areas. Council is not being asked to approve \$17M for operational services tonight.

In Favor: Dickerson, Livingston, Terracio, Manning and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski, Walker and Myers

Not Present: McBride and Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

- b. Authorizing the issuance of Fire Protection Service General Obligation Bonds in one or more series, tax-exempt or taxable, in an amount not to exceed \$8,000,000 for the purpose of acquiring and constructing fire stations and acquiring fire protection equipment; authorizing the County Administrator to prescribe the details of the issuance and sale of the bonds and the form and details of the bonds; providing for the disposition of the proceeds of the bonds and the payment of the bonds; and other related matters – Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve this item.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: McBride and Kennedy

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- c. An Ordinance Amending the Fiscal Year 2021 Victim Assistance Fund annual budget by \$153,456 to amend Richland County Sheriff Budget in the VA Fund – Mr. Walker moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to approve this item.

In Favor: Dickerson, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski

Not Present: McBride and Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

- d. An Ordinance Amending the Fiscal Year 2021 General Fund Annual Budget by \$1,092,456 to pay for the annual costs of body worn and in-car camera in the Richland County Sheriff's Department General Fund Budget and to amend the Victim's Assistance Budget – Ms. Newton moved, seconded by Mr. Walker, to approve this item.

In Favor: Dickerson, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski

Not Present: McBride and Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

Ms. Dickerson moved, seconded by Ms. Terracio, to reconsider Items 16(a) – 16(d).

In Favor: Malinowski

Opposed: Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy

The motion for reconsideration failed.

17. **SECOND READING ITEMS**

- a. An Ordinance authorizing consent for annexation to the City of Columbia for .509± Acre on S/S Candi Lane, which is a portion of TMS # 07208-03-02; a part of the Three Rivers Greenway – Ms. Terracio moved, seconded by Ms. Newton, to approve this item.

Mr. Malinowski stated, at the October 6th Council meeting, the TMS # was listed as 07208-03-01/02, so there is a difference in the TMS #s. Also, there was a petition for annexation with maps attached, which is not included in tonight's agenda packet.

In Favor: Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski

Not Present: Kennedy and Myers

The vote was in favor.

- b. Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes and incentive agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Project Sunshine to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; authorizing certain infrastructure credits; authorizing the administration of a grant; approving the transfer of certain real property; and other related matters – Mr. Walker moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to approve this item.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy and Myers

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- c. For the benefit of Project Sunshine, approving the acquisition and transfer of certain real property located in Richland County, the granting of certain easements and other matters related thereto – Mr. Walker moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to approve this item.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning and Newton

Opposed: Myers

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

18. **OTHER ITEMS**

- a. Ordinance extending Ordinance 26-20HR, an emergency ordinance requiring the wearing of face masks to help alleviate the spread of COVID-19 – Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to extend the emergency ordinance for an additional 61 days.

Mr. Malinowski noted there was not a copy of the ordinance in the agenda packet.

In Favor: Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Manning, Myers and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski and Walker

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to reconsider this item.

In Favor: Malinowski and Walker

Opposed: Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Manning, Myers and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

- b. Extension of Grantee Expenditure of COVID-19 Pandemic Relief Grant Funds – Mr. Brown stated, during the July 28th Special Called meeting, there was a discussion about giving grantees an opportunity to submit their paperwork, so they could receive the funds the County set aside with its pandemic relief program. Staff requested an extension, and noted that if necessary staff would request an additional extension if individuals were not able to submitted their paperwork. There are still individuals who qualified for the award, but have not been able to submit all of their documentation; therefore, staff is requesting to extend the deadline to the end of December.

Ms. McBride stated, for clarification, some of the applicants have not received their funds; therefore, constituents have not received the funds.

Mr. Brown responded in the affirmative.

Ms. McBride stated she hopes staff has taken note of the problems we encountered in the process, so we can correct them in the future.

Mr. Brown responded Mr. Hayes, and his team, have taken note of issues that was communicated to them from constituents, applicants and Council members.

Ms. McBride inquired if the County has applied for any additional Federal funding.

Mr. Brown responded the County has applied for Federal funds. We have not received any additional funds, at this time.

Ms. McBride moved, seconded by Ms. Newton, to approve this item.

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Dickerson moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to reconsider this item.

Opposed: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Walker, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy

The motion for reconsideration failed.

- c. FY20 – District 2 Hospitality Tax Allocations
d. FY20 – District 8 Hospitality Tax Allocations
e. FY20 – District 4 Hospitality Tax Allocations
f. FY20 – District 3 Hospitality Tax Allocations
g. FY20 – District 10 Hospitality Tax Allocations

Ms. Newton moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve Items 18(c) – 18(g).

In Favor: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Manning, Myers and Newton

Opposed: Walker

Not Present: Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

Ms. Dickerson moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to reconsider Items 18(c) – 18(g).

In Favor: Walker

Opposed: Malinowski, Dickerson, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Manning, Myers and Newton

Not Present: Kennedy

The motion for reconsideration failed.

19. **EXECUTIVE SESSION** – No Executive Session items.

20. **MOTION PERIOD**

- a. I move that all County Employees presenting to County Council during an official Council meeting (Regular, Special Called, Public Zoning Hearing or Standing Committee) held on ZOOM have a live camera running during their actual verbal input [MANNING] – This item was referred to the Rules & Appointments Committee.
- b. Direct the Rules Committee to determine which Richland County Boards, Committees and Commissions should have as a qualification that the person applying must reside in the unincorporated area of Richland County only. There are some of these positions where other municipalities appoint individuals and if a person applying for one of those positions resides in that municipality then they should make application through them [MALINOWSKI] – This item was referred to the Rules & Appointments Committee.
- c. I move that Richland County build a new County Courthouse [MANNING] – This item was referred to the Richland Renaissance Ad Hoc Committee.
- d. I move that Richland County provide a minimally adequate facility for the Richland County DSS [MANNING] – This item was referred to the Richland Renaissance Ad Hoc Committee.
- e. I move that Richland County provide a suitable facility for the Emergency Call Center [MANNING] – This item was referred to the Richland Renaissance Ad Hoc Committee.
- f. I move that Richland County proceed with completing the plan to move the EOC/EMS out of the windowless basement of the parking garage to the old junk yard property bought years ago for that purpose at the corner of Two Notch Road and Cushman Drive [MANNING] – This item was referred to the Development and Services Committee.
- g. I move that if matters such as Clerk to Council Search or Compensation for Interim Clerk of Council are to be a part of the Employee Evaluation Oversight Ad Hoc Committee that the name of the Ad Hoc Committee be changed to better reflect what would fall under the purview of its function, responsibility, and/or purpose [MANNING] – This item was referred to the Rules & Appointments Committee.

- h. I move that Richland County discontinue its practice of demolishing private property in unincorporated areas of the County (where that property is not located on public roads) without the property owners' consent and opportunity to be heard by RCC. I further move that any and all such involuntary demolitions be conducted at County expense and not at the property owners' expense. [MYERS] – This item was referred to the Development and Services Committee.

21. **ADJOURNMENT** – The meeting adjourned at approximately 9:30 PM.